

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/078,981	02/20/2002	Steven P. Bermes	UP-273	6527	
7590 11/24/2003			EXAMINER		
George Pappas		CHOI, STEPHEN			
Pappas Law Office Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
919 S. Harrison Street			3724	3724	
Fort Wayne, IN 46802			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

}		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	7			
		10/078,9	81	BERMES, STEVE	N P.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Stephen		3724 ,				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	dress			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failue - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS (Signary of the may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exunication. )) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and wwill. by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tutory minimum of thirty (30) vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS f olication to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely rom the mailing date of this content (a) (a) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	y. ommunication.			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>24 September</u>	<u>2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-18 and 22-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>19-21 and 27-33</u> is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the			•				
10)⊠	0) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 February 2002</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
•		by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Off	fice Action or form P	10-152.			
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* 5 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ince a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the translation of the foreign land Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the foreign land Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the foreign land Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the first sent t	documents have be documents have be of the priority document Bureau (PCT Run for a list of the ceror domestic priority und in the first sentence aguage provisional approach to the sentence of the ceror domestic priority under the first sentence of the ceror domestic priority under the documents of the ceror domestic priority under the ceror documents of the ceror documents and the ceror documents and the ceror documents are documents and the ceror documents and the ceror documents are documents are documents and the ceror documents are documents are documents and the ceror documents are document	en received. en received in Application tents have been received 17.2(a)). tified copies not received ander 35 U.S.C. § 17 e of the specification pplication has been under 35 U.S.C. §§ 7	cation No eived in this National eived. 19(e) (to a provisional n or in an Application received. 120 and/or 121 since	al application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachmen								
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P	PTO-948) aper No(s) <u>2</u> .		nary (PTO-413) Paper No( nal Patent Application (PTo				

Application/Control Number: 10/078,981

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, Species A in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. It is noted that applicant's reply did not include a listing of claims readable on the elected species. The examiner has determined that claims 19-21 and 27-33 are readable on the elected species.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 27, "the cutting edge" lacks positive antecedent basis.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 19 and 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ogletree (US 2,109,303).

Regarding claims 19 and 27, Ogletree discloses all the recited elements of the invention including:

Application/Control Number: 10/078,981

Art Unit: 3724

- a) a tool body (5);
- b) a cavity extending into the tool body (Figure 3);
- c) a cutting blade (9);
- d) a pair of opposing walls (a,c) in the cavity located generally parallel with the cutting blade wherein the opposing walls are equidistant from the cutting blade.

Regarding claims 28-33, Ogletree discloses all the recited elements of the invention including:

- e) a pair of walls (a,c);
- f) a cutting edge (10) located between and parallel with the walls;
- g) the walls (a,c) are equidistant from the cutting edge and located in planes parallel with one another (Figure 4);
- h) a pair of opposing retaining walls (b,d).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogletree (US 2,109,303).

Ogletree discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the tool body made of plastic. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 10/078,981 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

time the invention was made to form the body made of plastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jones, Smith, and Evens et al. are cited to show related devices.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Choi whose telephone number is 703-306-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday between 9am and 5pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

SC

November 14, 2003

STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER